



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ispto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/911,346	07/24/2001	Jian Ni	PF199D2	4955
22195 75	90 04/09/2004		EXAMINER	
HUMAN GENOME SCIENCES INC			MERTZ, PREMA MARIA	
	AL PROPERTY DEPT. GROVE ROAD		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ROCKVILLE, MD 20850			1646	

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/911,346	NI ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Prema M Mertz	1646				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper repl n places the applica	ition in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		NOTELL				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		rially rady aina ar air	malifying the			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			d A			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20,29-31,34-52,61,62,65-83,92-</u>	94,97-115 and 124-127.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 21-28,58-6	<u>0,84-91,95,96 and 116-123</u> .					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximate	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						
		Prema M Mertz Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1646				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that the declaration by Dr. Roschke under 37 CFR 1.132 defines an antibody that "specifically binds" as "an antibody that is specific for one member of a protein family that does not appreciably bind i.e. cross-react with other members of that family irrespective of the level of sequence identity among family members". However, contrary to this definition, the position of the USPTO is that "specifically binds is defined as binding to one particular protein without binding to a structurally unrelated protein. Applicants definition of "specifically binds" is repugnant to the art and is not an art recognized definition. Furthermore, an antibody that specifically binds is one that binds to any epitope in a particular protein and also encompasses antibody binding to any epitope in a structurally related protein. Since the antibody of the prior art binds to NKEF A and B which are structurally related proteins to the present NKEF C protein, the antibody of the prior art meets the limitations of the instant claims. The declaration by Viktor Roschke under 37 CFR 1.132 is non-persuasive in obviating the 35 USC 102 and 35 USC 103 rejections of record.